## **Partition Function Inequality**

## Introduction

In this article, we will obtain an upper bound for the partition function p(n) using elementary methods of combinatorics.

# Prerequisite

### Definition 1

Let p(n) be the number of ways of writing n as the sum of positive integers (order is not important).

### Definition 2

Let p(m, n) be the number of solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = n$$

where,  $a_i \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq m \leq n$  (order is not important).

### **Definition 3**

Let  $\phi(m, n)$  be the number of solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = n$$

where,  $a_i \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq m \leq n$  (order is important).

#### Lemma 1

For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \leq n$ , we have,

$$p(m,n) \le \phi(m,n)$$

*Proof:* Since, p(m, n) and  $\phi(m, n)$  differ only in the order of the summands, therefore, the number of ways of writing n as the sum of m positive integers where order is important is greater than or equal to the number of ways of writing n as the sum of m positive integers where order is not important. This completes the proof of lemma 1.

#### Lemma 2

For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \leq n$ , we have,

$$\phi(m,n) = \binom{n-1}{m-1}$$

*Proof:* From definition 3 and elementary combinatorics, it can be shown that,  $\phi(m, n)$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in the series expansion of  $(x + x^2 + x^3 + ...)^m = x^m (1-x)^{-m}$ , that is, the coefficient of  $x^{n-m}$  in the series expansion of  $(1-x)^{-m}$ . We know that if |x| < 1, then,

$$(1-x)^{-m} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{m(m+1)\dots(m+k-1)}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+k-1)!}{(m-1)!k!} x^k$$

which implies, the coefficient of  $x^{n-m}$  in the series expansion of  $(1-x)^{-m}$  is  $\frac{(n-1)!}{(m-1)!(n-m)!}$ , which completes the proof of lemma 2.

#### Lemma 3

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} p(m,n) = p(n)$$

*Proof:* From definition 2, it can be shown that p(m, n) is the number of ways of writing n as the sum of m positive integers where order is not important. Thus the sum,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} p(m,n)$$

represents the total number of ways of writing n as the sum of m positive integers where m = 1, 2, 3..., n. This is the same as definition 1, which completes the proof of lemma 3.

## Lemma 4

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{m-1} = 2^{n-1}$$

*Proof:* From the binomial theorem it is known that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , then,

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} x^m$$

substituting x = 1, replacing n by n-1 and replacing m by m-1 completes the proof of lemma 4.

# Inequality

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have,

$$p(n) \le 2^{n-1}$$

*Proof:* From lemma 1, we have,

$$p(m,n) \le \phi(m,n)$$

summing up both the sides from m = 1 to m = n, we obtain,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n p(m,n) \le \sum_{m=1}^n \phi(m,n)$$

using lemma 2, lemma 3 and lemma 4, we obtain,

$$p(n) \le \sum_{m=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{m-1} = 2^{n-1}$$

which completes the proof of the inequality.

Romanian Mathematical Magazine Web: http://www.ssmrmh.ro The Author: This article is published with open access.

ANGAD SINGH email-id: angadsingh1729@gmail.com