## Another Flawed Proof

## Introduction

In this article, we will study how an incorrect identity is derived while using RMT (Ramanujan's Master Theorem).

## Prerequisites

## Mellin Transform

The Mellin transform of a function $f$ is given by

$$
\{M f\}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) d x
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the above integral exists.

## Ramanujan's Master Theorem

If the Taylor series expansion of $f$ exists about $x=0$ and is given by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(k)(-x)^{k}}{k!}
$$

then Ramanujan's master theorem states that,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n-1} f(x) d x=\Gamma(n) \phi(-n)
$$

where $\phi$ satisfies the conditions mentioned in [1] and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Faulty Proof

Let us start from a well known result, which states that if $\Re(s)>1$, then,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^{x}-1} d x=\Gamma(s) \zeta(s)
$$

substituting $x=m t$ in the above integral, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^{m t}-1} d t=\frac{\Gamma(s) \zeta(s)}{m^{s}}
$$

summing up both the sides from $m=1$ to $m=\infty$, we have,

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^{m t}-1} d t=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s) \zeta(s)}{m^{s}}
$$

simplifying the above equation, we obtain,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{m t}-1} d t=\Gamma(s) \zeta^{2}(s)
$$

Therefore, if $F$ is given as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{m x}-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x>0$, then the Mellin transform of $F$ exists and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{M F\}(s)=\Gamma(s) \zeta^{2}(s) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Re(s)>1$.
Let the series expansion of $F$ about $x=0$ takes the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(k)(-x)^{k}}{k!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus from RMT, (2) and (3), we obtain that,

$$
\phi(k)=\zeta^{2}(-k)=\frac{B_{k+1}^{2}}{(k+1)^{2}}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $B_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ Bernoulli number.
Substituting $\phi$ in (3) and equating it with (1), we obtain,
$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{m x}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{k+1}^{2}(-x)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2} k!}=\frac{1}{4}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{k+1}^{2}(-x)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2} k!}=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2 k}^{2} x^{2 k-1}}{k^{2}(2 k-1)!}$
therefore, we finally have,

$$
4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{k x}-1}=1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2 k}^{2} x^{2 k-1}}{k^{2}(2 k-1)!}
$$

which is incorrect.

## Conclusion

It is not hard to prove that the equation obtained at the end is incorrect since the infinite series present in the R.H.S. is divergent for all $x \neq 0$. Since $F$ diverges at $x=0$, expanding $F$ about $x=0$ violates RMT conditions. Thus, we can't find the Mellin transform of $F$ using RMT.
Using the formula

$$
\zeta(-k)=(-1)^{k} \frac{B_{k+1}}{k+1}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, makes the proof even worse because the function obtained after the analytic continuation of the zeta function is not the same as the previous zeta function.
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